

The Impact of Formula Choice for the Management of Paediatric Cow's Milk Allergy on the Occurrence of other Allergic Manifestations

Rita Nocerino, *et al.* (2021). The impact of formula choice for the management of paediatric cow's milk allergy on the occurrence of other allergic manifestations: The Atopic March Cohort Study. *J Pediatr.*; doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.01.059. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33524387. (Prepared with thanks to Professor Roberto Berni Canani)

Extensively hydrolysed casein formula (EHCF) + *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (LGG®) is effective in preventing future allergic manifestations and in accelerating the return to milk products (immune tolerance acquisition) in infants affected by CMA.

What is cow's milk allergy?

With an estimated prevalence of up to 3%, cow's milk allergy (CMA) is one of the most common food allergies and one of the main causes of food-induced anaphylaxis in the paediatric age population.

CMA results from a breakdown of immune tolerance mechanisms, leading to an abnormal immune-mediated response to proteins in cow's milk that occurs consistently with ingestion. The condition imposes a significant cost to healthcare systems, as well as to families.

Elimination of cow's milk proteins from the diet is the mainstay of treatment. If breastfeeding is not available, the child must be fed a special formula adapted to CMA dietary management. This type of formula must be adequate in terms of allergic and nutritional safety.

Study background

The most used formulas for CMA are EHCF or extensively hydrolysed whey formula (EHWF), soy formula (SF), rice hydrolysed formula (RHF) or amino acid-based formula (AAF). Data suggest that early-life CMA could be the first stage of the 'allergic march', leading to other atopic manifestations (AMs), especially asthma, atopic eczema, urticaria and rhinoconjunctivitis, later in life.

Formulas for CMA treatment differ mainly in the protein fraction features, such as source (cow's milk, soy or rice) and degree and procedure of hydrolysis. It has been suggested that selected milk protein hydrolysates may be able to not only reduce allergic symptoms in CMA children, due to the destruction of IgE epitopes, but may also have immune-modulating properties, such as the induction of T-cell tolerance and the prevention of sensitisation.

EHCF-derived casein protein fraction can elicit a tolerogenic effect through, in part, an epigenetic modulation of genes expression. The addition of the probiotic LGG® can elicit positive modulation of the gut microbiome with increased production of the short-chain fatty acid butyrate, a powerful metabolite able to exert a strong epigenetic-mediated regulation of the main mechanisms involved in immune tolerance acquisition.

Data suggest a potentially different impact on immune tolerance acquisition induced by EHCF + LGG®. There is also evidence that EHCF + LGG® may have a long-lasting preventive effect on the development of allergy in children at risk for atopy. In children with CMA, dietary intervention with EHCF supplemented with LGG® has benefits in decreasing inflammation and gastrointestinal symptoms and in reducing disease duration, the occurrence of functional gastrointestinal disorders, and other AMs later in life, compared with EHCF without LGG®. The present study was designed to assess the incidence of AMs later in life in children with CMA treated with different special formulas.

Study design

In a 36-month prospective cohort study, the occurrence of other AMs including eczema, urticaria, asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis (primary study outcome), and the time of immune tolerance acquisition (secondary study outcome) were comparatively evaluated in immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated CMA children treated with:

- extensively hydrolysed casein formula + LGG®,
- rice hydrolysed formula,
- soy formula,
- extensively hydrolysed whey formula,
- amino acid-based formula.

Study results

This is the first cohort study evaluating the occurrence of AMs later in life in children with CMA treated with different formulas. Some 365 subjects were enrolled into the study, with 73 assigned per formula cohort.

Regarding the primary outcome (see **Figure 1**), the incidence of other AMs in the EHCF + LGG® cohort was significantly lower, compared with the other cohorts.

The ability of EHCF to prevent allergy is supported by the results of the GINI study in which infants at high risk of allergic diseases were protected from AMs when they received EHCF.^{1,6} These data are consistent with those of a recent retrospective study, based on real world evidence, revealing that the first-line management of newly diagnosed CMA infants treated with EHCF + LGG® may slow down the allergic march when compared with infants treated with extensively hydrolysed whey formula (EHWF).⁷

Secondary outcome results (see **Figures 2 & 3**) of this cohort study confirm that EHCF + LGG® has a greater potential in stimulating immune tolerance acquisition. In other words, reducing disease duration and returning patients to milk. We provide additional evidence on the positive effect elicited by EHCF + LGG® on immune tolerance acquisition in children with CMA.

Conclusion

This cohort study performed in a well-characterised population of children with CMA shows that EHCF + LGG® is effective in preventing the allergic march and in accelerating the time of immune tolerance acquisition in paediatric patients affected by CMA.

View full study here:

[www.jpeds.com/article/S0022-3476\(21\)00093-7/fulltext](http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022-3476(21)00093-7/fulltext)

References: 1. von Berg A, et al. (2003). The effect of hydrolyzed cow's milk formula for allergy prevention in the first year of life: The German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study, a randomized double-blind trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*; 111: 533-540. 2. von Berg A, et al. (2007). Certain hydrolyzed formulas reduce the incidence of atopic dermatitis but not that of asthma: three-year results of the German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*; 119: 718-725. 3. von Berg A, et al. (2008). Preventive effect of hydrolyzed infant formulas persists until age 6 years: long-term results from the German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study (GINI). *J Allergy Clin Immunol*; 121: 1442-1447. 4. von Berg A, et al. (2013). Allergies in high-risk schoolchildren after early intervention with cow's milk protein hydrolysates: 10-year results from the German Infant Nutritional Intervention (GINI) study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*; 131: 1565-1573. 5. von Berg A, et al. (2016). Allergic manifestation 15 years after early intervention with hydrolyzed formulas--the GINI Study Allergy; 71: 210-219. 6. von Berg A, et al. (2017). The German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study (GINI) for the preventive effect of hydrolyzed infant formulas in infants at high risk for allergic diseases. Design and selected results. *Allergol Select*; 1: 28-38. 7. Guest JF, et al. (2019). Effectiveness of using an extensively hydrolyzed casein formula supplemented with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG compared with an extensively hydrolysed whey formula in managing cow's milk protein allergic infants. *J Comp Eff Res*; 8: 1317-1326.




Need to know more about CMPA?

Get the latest updates and data delivered to your inbox – scan the QR code to sign up to our newsletter.

Important notice

Breastfeeding is best for babies. LGG® is a registered trademark of Chr. Hansen A/S.

Figure 1: Proportion of subjects with atopic manifestations during 36 months




*Allergic manifestation incidence (Bonferroni corrected 95% confidence interval [CI]) at 36 months:

- 0.22 (0.09 to 0.34) for the EHCF + LGG® cohort
- 0.52 (0.37 to 0.67) for the RHF cohort
- 0.58 (0.43 to 0.72) for the SF cohort
- 0.51 (0.36 to 0.66) for the EHWF cohort
- 0.77 (0.64 to 0.89) for the AAF cohort.

[†]Rice hydrolysate formula is not available in the UK.

Figure 2: Proportion of subjects with immune tolerance acquisition during 36 months



*Based on evidence using THIN (The Health Improvement Network), real world evidence from clinical practices (>11 million anonymised patients entered by GPs from >560 practices across the UK).

[†]Immune tolerance acquisition (Bonferroni corrected 95% confidence interval [CI]) at 36 months:

- 0.81 (0.69 to 0.93) for the EHCF + LGG® cohort
- 0.41 (0.26 to 0.56) for the RHF cohort
- 0.40 (0.25 to 0.54) for the SF cohort
- 0.42 (0.28 to 0.57) for the EHWF cohort
- 0.19 (0.07 to 0.31) for the AAF cohort.

[†]Rice hydrolysate formula is not available in the UK.

Figure 3: Proportion of subjects with immune tolerance acquisition

